Gravatt, Dan

From:

Whitley, Christopher

Sent:

Tuesday, May 14, 2013 11:38 AM

To:

Brooks, Karl; Hague, Mark; Asher, Audrey; Bertram, Gary; Bruno, Jodi; Cozad, David; Curtis, Glenn; Dawani, Karim, Doolan, Stephanie; Ferguson, Jaci; Flournoy, Karen; Gravatt, Dan; Hammerschmidt, Ron; Hatch, Sarah; Hood, Rich; Hooper, Charles A.; Jackson, Robert W.; Jay, Michael; Jefferson, Matthew; Kring, Debbie; Moses, Althea; Sanders, LaTonya;

Singletary, DeAndre; Smith, Mark A.; Smith, John; Tapia, Cecilia; Tapp, Joshua; Thomas,

Hattie; Toensing, Don; Washburn, Ben; Weber, Rebecca; Werner, Leslye

Subject:

FW: Highlighted DHSS memo, re: Bridgeton Landfill

Attachments:

Orig DHSSMemo.pdf

More FYI.

Chris Whitley

Public Affairs Specialist U.S. EPA Region 7 Office of Public Affairs 11201 Renner Boulevard Lenexa, KS 66219 913-551-7394

From: Roark, Chris [mailto:chris.roark@ago.mo.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 14, 2013 11:27 AM

To: Whitley, Christopher

Subject: FW: Highlighted DHSS memo

One additional one.

This email message, including the attachments, is from the Missouri Attorney General's Office. It is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information, including that covered by § 32.057, RSMo. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you.

3.0

0714



Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon Governor

DATE:

May 10, 2013

Acting Director

TO:

Chris Nagel, Director

Solid Waste Management Program

Missouri Department of Natural Resources

FROM:

Jonathan Garoutte, Chief

Bureau of Environmental Epidemiology

Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services

SUBJECT:

Review of Remedy Evaluation for Abandonment of Reinforced Concrete

Pipes (RCPs).

The Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services (DHSS) has reviewed the Remedy Evaluation for Abandonment of Reinforced Concrete Pipes for Bridgeton Sanitary Landfill prepared by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (DNR). Based on the options outlined and impacts presented by DNR, we concur that option 6 is the best option for protection of public health. Option 6 minimizes the potential for ongoing future releases by taking care of the RCPs now and not taking the risk that they will resurface later and need additional maintenance that would again release more landfill gasses. It also minimizes the potential for large events, such as a slope failure, that could release more significant amounts of landfill gases. Also, we have not seen chemical concentrations of public health concern for short term exposures during the significant well drilling work so far, and our understanding is that this RCP work may produce landfill gas emissions within a comparable range. Lastly, based on conversations with you and other DNR staff, option 6 may maximize the chance for the interim cap to actually bring the subsurface smoldering event to an end. So, the added time it will take for option 6 versus the other options seems outweighed by the benefits of reducing risk of future emissions and ongoing public exposure potential. For these reasons, again, I believe we concur with the choice of option 6.